

A new study examines the consequences of adopting a unique ID number or EPC larger than 96 bits for ISO-18000-6c/EPC Gen 2 tags.

By Patrick King

Feb. 1, 2010—Before there was an ISO-18000-6c/EPC Gen 2 RFID standard, there were ISO 18000-6a and ISO 18000-6b. Both of those older standards had two memory banks; the first bank was encoded with a TID (tag ID) number, programmed by the chip manufacturer and locked, while the second was intended for user memory, with 2 kilobits available for 18000-6b tags. Not only was a TID a unique serial ID number, it was also the first section of memory read in the initial exchange between a tag and an interrogator. To avoid reading the wrong tag, or having signals from multiple tags interfere with each other (which is called collision), it was very important for the TID to be unique and small in size.

Companies using 18000-6a and 18000-6b chips often asked, "Can I have *my* number placed in TID?" In 2002, this basic need launched the Electronic Product Code (EPC) and ISO 18000-6c standards, with four memory banks. ISO 18000-6c chips still have a TID, but this memory bank no longer controls anticollision or wake-up functions. Those two features are assigned to Memory Bank 01 (MB01), which is the unique ID or EPC—typically, 96 bits in size—and there is also the same user memory bank as before (MB11), as well as a final reserved memory bank.



In early 2009, [NXP Semiconductors](#) and [Alien Technology](#) began to manufacture commercial EPC Gen 2 chips with 512 additional bits available. The added memory was chiefly designed to allow programming of user memory (MB11); however, one additional feature allowed for significant expansion of the UUI (unique ID) Memory Bank 01. More recently, tags with up to 64 kilobits are emerging that place additional stress on choosing how to allocate certain percentages of those bits.

Until this point, a Gen 2 chip only had sufficient memory to encode a 96-bit EPC number within its MB01. Even though the ISO-18000-6c specification clearly describes four candidate memory banks, and denotes MB11 as assigned to user memory, a new debate has emerged regarding whether it is better to use these additional bits to extend MB01, or to designate the additional capability within MB11 only. The nature of the debate was not whether a chip supplier should allow the features of variable assignment of memory, but rather how to maintain high levels of interchangeability among users in any particular industry, and how to assure that the choices made do not complicate certain application processes. Simply stated, if one group places 254 bits into MB01 and nothing into MB11, while a second group places 96 bits in MB01 and the remainder in MB11, what could be the impact?

[Michelin](#) suspected that efforts to continuously expand UUI MB01 memory could negatively impact performance for certain situations, and undermine many of the elegant features imagined by

maintaining a simple unique serial number in MB01. In an effort to resolve this dispute, the company commissioned [CISC Semiconductor Design+Consulting GmbH](#), located in Klagenfurt, Austria, to carry out a study to determine whether data beyond a 96-bit unique ID was best placed in MB01 or in MB11. The tire maker imagined that in an environment containing multiple automotive components (including tires), rapid and correct data capture would be key. Additionally, in applications such as reading a tire in motion, the need for a simple serial ID was considered paramount, but needed to be proven.

CISC is a design and consulting service company for industries developing embedded microelectronic systems, with a particular focus on automotive and RFID systems. The firm offers systems for testing RFID conformance and performance, as well as product and application design, according to the ISO and EPCglobal standards. CISC carried out the Michelin-commissioned study in fall 2009, utilizing its [CISC RFID ASD \(Application and System Design\) Kit+Library](#), developed over the past five years to support RFID product and system design—in particular, for ISO 18000-6b, ISO 18000-6c (EPC Gen 2 UHF) and EPC Gen 2 HF.

The tool is currently in global use, and has also already provided major inputs to standardization at [ISO/IEC](#), [EPCglobal](#) and [ETSI](#). As the simulation tool has been aligned with real-world RFID readers and tags, it could utilize the major advantage of performing hundreds of application operation simulations with a high number of tags for various data rates, as required for the different global RF regulations, with very little man-power, but only with computing time. This results in a very cost-optimized evaluation, compared to real setups.

One of the study's major findings is that throughput, in terms of anticollision rate (that is, the number of tags that can be singulated within a particular span of time—e.g., one second), degrades by approximately 30 percent if the UII length is increased from 96 bits to 240 bits. This also has a severe impact on mixed population environments of tags with 96-bit or 240-bit unique IDs, compared with an environment of 96-bit tags only, since the reader reliability for the 240-bit tags is lower than for the 96-bit tags, thereby resulting in missed reads requiring multiple attempts.

Therefore, in order to have a fast anticollision rate, end users should keep the UII as short as possible. This would also improve the performance for a mixed population of tags, as well as for those tags for which the reading of user memory can be skipped.

Furthermore, the study noted that an interrogator is able to read user memory only during tag singulation (anticollision), which means that user memory handling always results in a significant decrease in application performance, versus an application requiring no user memory. What's more, for applications in which a tag's user memory needs to be read, there is no difference between having information stored in the UII (MB01) or user memory (MB11).

The summary recommendation is that any industry considering creating UII serial numbers larger than 96 bits needs to read this report and be forewarned. There should be serious consideration of using the UII as an anticollision feature, and actually assigning all relevant data to MB11. For tires, the request

would be for Michelin (and others) to provide their own Ull in MB01, with MB11 available for any and all additional serial identifications required for the same tire.

A complete copy of the report can be downloaded by clicking [here](#), or by e-mailing a request to CISC at j.preishub. For a discussion of other issues involving ISO-18000-6c/EPC Gen 2 RFID tags, see [Hex Is Not the Standard](#) and [Identifying RFID's Biggest Threats](#).

Patrick King is the current leader for global electronics strategies at [Michelin](#), and the tire maker's representative to EPCglobal. Dedicated to sustainable mobility, Michelin designs, manufactures and sells tires for every type of vehicle, including airplanes, automobiles, bicycles, earthmovers, farm equipment, heavy-duty trucks, motorcycles and the space shuttle.